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The Agency

• 15th year of operations
• Main secretariat in Odense, Denmark and European Liaison office in Brussels, Belgium
Funding

The Agency is financed by:

- The member countries’ Ministries of Education
- European Commission as one of the 6 organisations supported by the Lifelong Learning Programme
Member countries of the Agency

- Presently, the Agency has 28 full member countries: Austria, Belgium (French), Belgium (Flemish), Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovenia, Slovak Republic, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom (England, Scotland, Northern Ireland and Wales).

- Soon: Bulgaria and Romania
The Agency’s Mission

• Member countries’ platform for collaboration in the field of special needs education (SNE)

• Our ultimate aim is to improve educational policy and practice for learners with special educational needs
The Agency’s Activities

• Collection, analysis and dissemination of information on priority themes
• Participation and organisation of conferences, seminars and political events
• Liaison with the European institutions and international organisations – OECD, UNESCO, Worldbank
Agency Information

Resources

The Agency offers various information resources, which can all be accessed via the website www.european-agency.org

- Thematic Reports
- Thematic Databases
- Newsletters and Electronic Bulletin

Agency publications can be downloaded in up to 22 member languages
Some working parameters

• Countries are at different starting points and have different ‘histories’ – we need to account for that and see it as a strength

• No-one has all the answers – countries have clear examples of good practice, but all countries are still ‘moving ahead’
International Policy Context for SNE

- UN Convention on Rights of People with Disabilities (2006)
Selection of Past Agency Priority Themes

• Early Childhood Intervention
• Provision of special needs education
• Financing and inclusion
• Transition from school to working life
• Classroom Practice to support Inclusive Education
• Assessment of learners with Special Educational Needs
• Immigrants and Special Needs
Current Agency Work - 2013

• New Priority themes
  – Teacher Education for Inclusion
  – Organisation of Provision for SNE
  – Vocational Education - policy and practice in the field of SNE
  – Inclusive Education in Action (IEA)

• Information Provision
  – Qualitative and quantitative indicators
  – Key Principles for policy and practice
Special Events

- Portuguese Parliament Hearing in Lisbon 2007
- European Parliament Hearing in Brussels 2011
European Hearing in Portugal

• The Lisbon Declaration ‘Young People’s Views on Inclusive Education’ is the main result of this event.

• We see a lot of benefits in inclusive education: we acquire more social skills; we live more experiences; we learn about how to manage in the real world; we need to have and interact with friends with and without special needs.

• Inclusive education is mutually beneficial to us and to the others
Parliament Hearing in Brussels November 2011
UN convention on the rights of people with disabilities (2006) – Article 24 - Education

States parties are requested to ensure an inclusive education system at all levels

- 153 signatories to the Convention
- 90 signatories to the Optional Protocol
- 110 ratifications of the Convention
- 63 ratifications of the Optional Protocol
Ratification of the optional protocol by European Agency member countries

- Austria - 26 September 2008
- Belgium – 2 July 2009
- Cyprus - 26 June 2011
- France - 18 February 2010
- Germany - 24 February 2009
- Hungary - 20 July 2007
- Italy – 15 May 2009
- Latvia – 31 August 2010
- Lithuania – 18 August 2010
- Luxembourg – 26 Sept 2011
- Portugal – 23 September 2009
- Slovakia- 26 May 2010
- Slovenia - 24 April 2008
- Spain - 3 December 2007
- Sweden - 15 December 2008
- United Kingdom – 7 August 2009
Map of signatures and ratifications, 07.03.2012
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage Range</th>
<th>Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2.0%</td>
<td>Sweden, Austria, France, Greece, Italy, Luxembourg, Poland, Portugal, Spain, UK (England), UK (Wales)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.01% - 4.0%</td>
<td>Belgium (Fr), Cyprus, Denmark, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Latvia, Malta, Netherlands, Switzerland, UK (N.I.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.01% - 6.0%</td>
<td>Belgium (Fl), Czech rep., Estonia, Finland, Norway, Slovenia, UK (Scotland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.01% - 10.0%</td>
<td>Iceland, Lithuania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Range</td>
<td>Countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 1.0%</td>
<td>Cyprus, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Malta, Norway, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.01% - 4.0%</td>
<td>Denmark, Finland, Hungary, Latvia, Netherlands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

European Agency for Development in Special Needs Education
Factors related to differences between and within countries

- Funding
- Population density
Developments in Europe

Developments
- A wider range and more flexible provision
- Developing funding models
- The development of resource centres

Challenges
- Academic achievements (output) versus SEN
- Secondary and higher education
- 2% of pupils in separate settings across Europe
- Preparing all teachers for inclusive education
Inclusive Education and Classroom Practice

What works within inclusive settings?

How inclusive education works?

Why it is working?
Some general conclusions

- What is good for pupils with special educational needs is good for all pupils
- Behaviour, social and/or emotional problems are the most challenging
- Dealing with differences in the classroom forms one of the biggest problems
Effective practices within the context of inclusive education

Co-operative teaching

- Teachers need support from colleagues
- Students get their support in the classroom
- Teachers learn from each others’ approaches and feedback. Thus, it also meets the needs of teachers
Effective practices within the context of inclusive education

Co-operative learning

- Peer tutoring is effective in both cognitive and affective areas
- Moreover, there are no indications that the more able pupil suffers from this situation
Effective practices within the context of inclusive education

**Heterogeneous grouping**

- Students of the same age stay together in mixed ability classrooms, to respect natural variability in characteristics of students.
- Heterogeneous grouping is effective when dealing with a diversity of pupils in the classroom.
Social Cohesion and Inclusive Education

- Key Questions
- Normative position?
- Role of research?
We deal with differences!

Climb the tree!
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